

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/642,316	FORREST ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Akm Enayet Ullah	2874

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Akm Enayet Ullah.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. John E. McGlynn (Reg.no. 42,863).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 June 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

41-52

Prior art documents discussed:

USPatent 6,381,380 and USPub. 2002/0031297

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


AKM ENAYET ULLAH
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: USPatent 6,381,380 and US2002/0031297 discussed, since it is the same same inventor. Applicant expalined the difference between the claimed subject matter and the USPatent and the USPub. The new set of claims 41- 52 aree allowable over the prior art as of record..



AKM ENAYET ULLAH
PRIMARY EXAMINER